UNDER SEAL

1 ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. United States Attorney 2 DENNISE D. WILLETT Assistant United States Attorney 3 Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office JEANNIE M. JOSEPH (Cal. State Bar No.: 180399) Assistant United States Attorney 4 Ronald Reagan Federal Bldg. & U.S. Dist. Courthouse 411 W. 4th St., Suite 8000 5 Santa Ana, California 92701 6 Telephone: (714) 338-3576 FILED CLERK, U.S.D.C. SOUTHERN DIVISION Facsimile: (714) 338-3708 7 E-mail: jeannie.joseph@usdoj.gov FEB 1 4 2012 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 SOUTHERN DIVISION) SA CR No. 12-23 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 14 Plaintiff, PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT PAUL RICHARD RANDALL 15 v. [UNDER SEAL] 16 PAUL RICHARD RANDALL, 17 Defendant. 18

1. This constitutes the plea agreement between PAUL RICHARD RANDALL ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California ("the USAO") in the above-captioned case. This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

2. Defendant agrees to:

28 / / /

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- a) Give up the right to indictment by a grand jury and, at the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to a one-count information in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit A or a similar form, which charges defendant with conspiracy to commit mail fraud, in violation 18 U.S.C. § 371.
 - b) Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c) Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d) Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e) Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States

 Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines")

 § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f) Be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the United States Probation Office, and the Court.
- g) Pay the applicable special assessment at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant lacks the ability to pay and submits a completed financial statement (form OBD-500) to the USAO prior to sentencing.
- h) Not seek the discharge of any restitution obligation, in whole or in part, in any present or future bankruptcy proceeding.
- 3. Defendant further agrees to cooperate fully with the USAO, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the California



18.

Department of Insurance, and, as directed by the USAO, any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority. This cooperation requires defendant to:

- a) Respond truthfully and completely to all questions that may be put to defendant, whether in interviews, before a grand jury, or at any trial or other court proceeding.
- b) Attend all meetings, grand jury sessions, trials or other proceedings at which defendant's presence is requested by the USAO or compelled by subpoena or court order.
- c) Produce voluntarily all documents, records, or other tangible evidence relating to matters about which the USAO, or its designee, inquires.
- d) If requested to do so by the USAO, act in an undercover capacity to the best of defendant's ability in connection with criminal investigations by federal, state, local, or foreign law enforcement authorities, in accordance with the express instructions of those law enforcement authorities.

 Defendant agrees not to act in an undercover capacity, tape record any conversations, or gather any evidence except after a request by the USAO and in accordance with express instructions of federal, state, local, or foreign law enforcement authorities.
- 4. For purposes of this agreement: (1) "Cooperation Information" shall mean any statements made, or documents, records, tangible evidence, or other information provided, by defendant pursuant to defendant's cooperation under this agreement or pursuant to the letter agreement previously entered into by the parties dated June 23, 2011 ("the Letter Agreement");

.

and (2) "Plea Information" shall mean any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing and the agreed to factual basis statement in this agreement.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

- 5. The USAO agrees to:
 - a) Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b) Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c) At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offense up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
- d) Recommend that defendant be sentenced to a term of imprisonment no higher than the low end of the applicable

 Sentencing Guidelines range, provided that the offense level used by the Court to determine that range is 21 or higher prior to any departure downward in offense level pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 and provided that the Court does not depart downward in criminal history category or offense level except to the extent requested by the USAO pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1. For purposes of this agreement, the low end of the Sentencing Guidelines range is that defined by the Sentencing Table in U.S.S.G. Chapter 5, Part A, without regard to reductions in the term of imprisonment that may be permissible through the substitution of community confinement or home detention as a result of the offense level falling within

Zone B or Zone C of the Sentencing Table.

e) Except for criminal tax violations (including conspiracy to commit such violations chargeable under 18 U.S.C. § 371), not to further criminally prosecute defendant for violations of federal law arising out of defendant's marketing activities in connection with the workers' compensation system that are known to the government. Defendant understands that the USAO is free to criminally prosecute defendant for any other unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct that occurs after the date of this agreement. Defendant agrees that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider the uncharged conduct in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed after consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and all other relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

6. The USAO further agrees:

a) Not to offer as evidence in its case-in-chief in the above-captioned case or any other criminal prosecution that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, or in connection with any sentencing proceeding in any criminal case that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, any Cooperation Information. Defendant agrees, however, that the USAO may use both Cooperation Information and Plea Information: (1) to obtain and pursue leads to other evidence, which evidence may be used for any purpose, including any criminal prosecution of defendant; (2) to cross-examine defendant should defendant testify, or to rebut any evidence offered, or argument or representation made,

by defendant, defendant's counsel, or a witness called by defendant in any trial, sentencing hearing, or other court proceeding; and (3) in any criminal prosecution of defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, or perjury.

- b) Not to use Cooperation Information against defendant at sentencing for the purpose of determining the applicable guideline range, including the appropriateness of an upward departure, or the sentence to be imposed, and to recommend to the Court that Cooperation Information not be used in determining the applicable guideline range or the sentence to be imposed. Defendant understands, however, that Cooperation Information will be disclosed to the probation office and the Court, and that the Court may use Cooperation Information for the purposes set forth in U.S.S.G § 1B1.8(b) and for determining the sentence to be imposed.
- c) In connection with defendant's sentencing, to bring to the Court's attention the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.
- d) If the USAO determines, in its exclusive judgment, that defendant has both complied with defendant's obligations under paragraphs 2 and 3 above and provided substantial assistance to law enforcement in the prosecution or investigation of another ("substantial assistance"), to move the Court pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 to fix an offense level and corresponding guideline range below that otherwise dictated by the sentencing guidelines, and to recommend a term of imprisonment within this reduced range.

 \parallel / / /

DEFENDANT'S UNDERSTANDINGS REGARDING COOPERATION

- 7. Defendant understands the following:
- a) Any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant will subject defendant to prosecution for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury and will constitute a breach by defendant of this agreement.
- b) Nothing in this agreement requires the USAO or any other prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority to accept any cooperation or assistance that defendant may offer, or to use it in any particular way.
- c) Defendant cannot withdraw defendant's guilty plea if the USAO does not make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 for a reduced guideline range or if the USAO makes such a motion and the Court does not grant it or if the Court grants such a USAO motion but elects to sentence above the reduced range.
- d) At this time the USAO makes no agreement or representation as to whether any cooperation that defendant has provided or intends to provide constitutes or will constitute substantial assistance. The decision whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will rest solely within the exclusive judgment of the USAO.
- e) The USAO's determination whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will not depend in any way on whether the government prevails at any trial or court hearing in which defendant testifies or in which the government otherwise presents information resulting from defendant's cooperation.

27 / / /

28 1 / / /

NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

8. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count one (violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371), the following must be true: (1) beginning in or around November 2009 and continuing through in or around June 2011, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit mail fraud; (2) defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it; and (3) one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of this offense as described in count one of the information.

PENALTIES AND RESTITUTION

- 9. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, is: five years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 10. Defendant understands that defendant will be required to pay full restitution to the victim(s) of the offense.

 Defendant agrees that, in return for the USAO's compliance with its obligations under this agreement, the amount of restitution is not restricted to the amounts alleged in the count to which defendant is pleading guilty and may include losses arising from charges not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement as well as all

relevant conduct in connection with that count and those charges.

- 11. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.
- 12. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. Defendant understands that once the court accepts defendant's guilty plea, it will be a federal felony for defendant to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the conviction in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.
- 13. Defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the felony conviction in this case may subject defendant to removal, also known as deportation, which

may, under some circumstances, be mandatory. The court cannot, and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony conviction in this case. Defendant understands that unexpected immigration consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

FACTUAL BASIS

14. Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided below. Defendant and the USAO agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charge described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 16 below but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

Beginning in or around November 2009 and continuing through in or around June 2011, defendant knowingly conspired with hospitals, chiropractors, physicians, and others to pay illegal kickbacks for the referral of workers' compensation patients and to submit to workers' compensation insurance carriers for payment medical bills associated with the services thereby rendered, while concealing the fact that the services were the result of a kickback arrangement. Specifically, defendant was a marketer for Tri-City Regional Medical Center, located at 21530 S.

Pioneer Boulevard, Hawaiian Gardens, California ("Tri-City"). As a marketer for Tri-City, defendant conspired with the operators of Tri-City, chiropractors, and physicians to pay kickbacks to obtain referrals of workers' compensation patients to Tri-City

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

for spinal surgeries. Defendant recruited chiropractors and physicians to refer workers' compensation patients for spinal surgeries at Tri-city by paying them a kickback of approximately Tri-City's claims for \$15,000 to \$20,000 per spinal surgery. spinal surgeries (for workers' compensation patients referred through defendant) were submitted to workers' compensation insurance carriers by mail and wire. Defendant and his coconspirators did not disclose to the workers' compensation insurance carriers the existence of the kickbacks. Defendant knew that it was illegal to pay these kickbacks to the chiropractors and physicians. Defendant also knew that, if the workers' compensation insurance carriers had known that the workers' compensation patients' spinal surgeries at Tri-City were a result of defendant's payment of illegal kickbacks to chiropractors and physicians, those workers' compensation insurance carriers would not have paid the claims.

Further, in or around April 2010, defendant created a company called Summit Medical Group, located at 21520 Pioneer Boulevard, Suite 203, Hawaiian Gardens, California ("Summit"), with co-conspirator Christina Hernandez. Through Summit, defendant and Hernandez purchased spinal surgery hardware to be used in the spine surgeries at Tri-City, created invoices inflating the cost of the hardware many times over the actual purchase price, and then - at the hospital's direction and with full disclosure to the hospital - submitted the inflated invoices to Tri-City. Tri-City, knowing that the cost of the spinal surgery hardware was inflated in this manner, submitted the inflated invoices to workers' compensation insurance carriers for

payment. Tri-City took 5% of all monies reimbursed on the inflated spinal surgery hardware bills before reimbursing Summit. Defendant was to pay the kickbacks to the chiropractors and physicians from his profits on providing the spinal surgery hardware to Tri-City at the inflated cost.

Also, in or around October 2010, defendant and Hernandez created a company called Platinum Medical, located at 21520 Pioneer Boulevard, Suite 203, Hawaiian Gardens, California ("Platinum"). Platinum paid kickbacks to physicians for referring workers' compensation patients for toxicology tests. Specifically, Platinum paid physicians \$150 to \$200 for each test performed, which was in addition to the professional component of the test that the physician would bill to workers' compensation insurance. Platinum hid this kickback by purchasing accounts receivables from the physicians for worthless toxicology claims.

Losses to workers' compensation insurance carriers total approximately \$2.5 million to \$7 million. This number includes actual and intended loss, as defined by Sentencing Guidelines Section 2B1.1, Application Note 3.

SENTENCING FACTORS

15. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to consider the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1)-(7), including the kinds of sentence and sentencing range established under the Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a)

factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of conviction.

16. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors:

Base Offense Level : 6 [U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(a)]

Specific Offense Characteristics

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Loss between : $\underline{+18}$ [U.S.S.G.

Adjustments
Acceptance of : __3 [U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1]

responsibility

The USAO will agree to a two-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility (and, if applicable, move for an additional one-level downward adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b)) only if the conditions set forth in paragraph 6(c) are met. Subject to paragraph 28 below, defendant and the USAO agree not to seek, argue, or suggest in any way, either orally or in writing, that any other specific offense characteristics, adjustments, or departures, other than a downward departure pursuant to § 5K1.1, relating to the offense level be imposed. Defendant agrees, however, that if, after signing this agreement but prior to sentencing, defendant were to commit an act, or the USAO were to discover a previously undiscovered act committed by defendant prior to signing this agreement, which act, in the judgment of the USAO, constituted obstruction of justice within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, the USAO would be free to seek the enhancement set forth in that section.

28 / / /

18. Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 19. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a) The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
 - b) The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c) The right to the assistance of an attorney at trial, including the right to have the Court appoint an attorney to represent defendant at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, despite defendant's guilty plea, defendant retains the right to be represented by an attorney -- and, if necessary, to have the Court appoint an attorney if defendant cannot afford one -- at every other stage of the proceeding.
- d) The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant quilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e) The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f) The right to testify on defendant's own behalf and present evidence in opposition to the charges, including calling witnesses and subpoening those witnesses to testify.
- g) The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that

choice not be used against defendant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

h) Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

20. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty plea was involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's conviction on the offense to which defendant is pleading guilty.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a term of imprisonment within or below the range corresponding to an offense level of 21 and the criminal history category calculated by the Court, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following: (a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence, with the exception of the Court's calculation of defendant's criminal history category; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court, except to the extent it depends on the Court's calculation of defendant's criminal history category; (c) the fine imposed by the court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) the amount and terms of any restitution order, provided it requires payment of no more than \$7 million; (e) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (f) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the standard conditions set forth in General Orders 318, 01-05,

and/or 05-02 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a) (5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b) (7).

22. The USAO agrees that, provided (a) all portions of the sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above, (b) the Court calculates the offense level to be used for selecting a sentencing range under the Sentencing Guidelines to be 21 or above prior to any departure under U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1, and (c) the Court imposes a term of imprisonment within or above the range corresponding to the offense level calculated after any downward departure under U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 and the criminal history category calculated by the Court, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence, with the exception that the USAO reserves the right to appeal the following: the amount of restitution ordered if that amount is less than \$7 million.

RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

23. Defendant agrees that if, after entering a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty plea on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement, including in particular its obligations regarding the use of Cooperation Information; (b) in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, adminstrative, or regulatory action, defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information shall be admissible against defendant,

and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, or any federal rule, that any Cooperation Information or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible; and (c) should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was not filed as a result of this agreement, then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

24. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

25. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. For example, if defendant knowingly, in an interview, before a grand jury, or at trial, falsely accuses another person of criminal conduct or falsely minimizes defendant's own role, or the role of

another, in criminal conduct, defendant will have breached this agreement. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then:

- (a) If defendant has previously entered a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea.
- (b) The USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement; in particular, the USAO: (i) will no longer be bound by any agreements concerning sentencing and will be free to seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for the crime to which defendant has pleaded guilty; (ii) will no longer be bound by any agreements regarding criminal prosecution, and will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for any crime, including charges that the USAO would otherwise have been obligated not to criminally prosecute pursuant to this agreement; and (iii) will no longer be bound by any agreement regarding the use of Cooperation Information and will be free to use any Cooperation Information in any way in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action.
- c) The USAO will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.

28 / / /

- d) In any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, adminstrative, or regulatory action: (i) defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim that any Cooperation Information was obtained in violation of the Fifth Amendment privilege against compelled self-incrimination; and (ii) defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any Plea Information, as well as any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any Plea Information, shall be admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that any Cooperation Information, any Plea Information, or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any Plea Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible.
- 26. Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was not filed as a result of this agreement, then:
- a) Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action.
- b) Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

28 1 / / /

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

COURT AND PROBATION OFFICE NOT PARTIES

- 27. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States Probation Office are not parties to this agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing factors.
- are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations in paragraph 16 are consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.
- 29. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty plea, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court -- can make a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PAUL RICHARD RANDALL

binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum. NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court. PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING The parties agree that this agreement will be considered part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding. AGREED AND ACCEPTED UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANDRÉ BIROTTE United States Attorney JEANNIE M. JOSEPH Assistant United States Attorney C(1 3 2011 PAUL RICHARD RANDALL Date Defendant Attorney for Defendant

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading quilty because I am quilty of the charge and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

Pan	NLL	oct 3	201
PAUL RICHARD	RANDALL	Date	
Defendant			

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am defendant PAUL RICHARD RANDALL's attorney. carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement.

BRIAN J. HENNIGAN Attorney for Defendant PAUL RICHARD RANDALL Oct 5,204

Date

2728

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SOUTHERN DIVISION 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, SA CR No. 12 Plaintiff, INFORMATION 13 v. [18 U.S.C. § 371: Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud] PAUL RICHARD RANDALL, 14 [UNDER SEAL] 15 Defendant. 16 17 The United States Attorney alleges: 18 19 [18 U.S.C. § 371] 20 Α. INTRODUCTION At all times relevant to this Information: 21 The California's Workers' Compensation System ("CWCS") 22 required that employers provide workers' compensation benefits to 23 employees who were injured in the course of their employment. 24 These medical benefits included physician visits, diagnostics, 25 physical therapy, medications, and surgery. The benefits 26 provided to the employee were administered by either a private 27 28 insurance carrier or the State Compensation Insurance Fund

("SCIF"), which was a state agency designed to act as the "insurer of last resort." Medical claims for services were required to be sent by mail or via electronic submission. The CWCS prohibited a physician from receiving anything of value for making a referral for evaluation, consultation, or treatment. Further, any claim for medical services furnished pursuant to an illegal referral (i.e., a kickback) was considered invalid.

2. Defendant PAUL RICHARD RANDALL was a marketer for a hospital located in Orange County, California ("Hospital"). Further, in or around April 2010, defendant RANDALL created a company called Summit Medical Group, located at 21520 Pioneer Boulevard, Suite 203, Hawaiian Gardens, California ("Summit"), with co-conspirator C.H.

B. THE OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY

3. Beginning in or around November 2009, and continuing through in or around June 2011, in Orange County and Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant RANDALL, together with C.H. and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed to commit the following offense against the United States: mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341.

C. THE MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

- 4. The object of the conspiracy was carried out, and to be carried out, in substance, as follows:
- a. Defendant RANDALL recruited chiropractors and physicians to refer workers' compensation patients for spinal

surgeries at the Hospital by paying them a kickback of approximately \$15,000 to \$20,000 per spinal surgery.

- b. To facilitate the payment of the kickbacks, defendant RANDALL engaged in a number of financial transactions through Summit. Specifically, defendant RANDALL and C.H.:
- i. Purchased spinal surgery hardware to be used in spinal surgeries at the Hospital;
- ii. Created invoices inflating the cost of the hardware many times over the actual purchase price; and
- iii. At the Hospital's direction and with full disclosure to the Hospital, submitted the inflated invoices to the Hospital.
- c. The Hospital then submitted the inflated invoices to workers' compensation insurance carriers for payment.
- d. The Hospital took 5% of all monies reimbursed on the inflated spinal surgery hardware bills before reimbursing Summit.
- e. Defendant RANDALL paid the kickbacks to the chiropractors and physicians from his profits on providing the spinal surgery hardware to the Hospital at the inflated cost.

D. <u>OVERT ACTS</u>

5. In furtherance of the conspiracy, and to accomplish its object, defendant RANDALL, together with C.H. and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, committed and willfully caused others to commit the following overt acts, among others, in the Central District of California and elsewhere:

Overt Act No. 1: In or around March 2010, defendant RANDALL paid chiropractor A.I. \$30,000 in cash for two spinal surgeries on workers' compensation patients performed at the Hospital that were referred by A.I.

Overt Act No. 2: In or around August 2010, defendant RANDALL submitted to the Hospital, to be billed by the Hospital to workers' compensation insurance, an invoice for spinal surgery hardware used in a spinal surgery at the Hospital on workers' compensation patient R.G., which listed the cost of the hardware as \$42,467 when the actual cost of the hardware purchased by Summit was \$13,641, and which was submitted by the Hospital to workers' compensation insurance for payment.

ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. United States Attorney

ROBERT E. DUGDALE

Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division

DENNISE D. WILLETT Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office

JEANNIE M. JOSEPH
Assistant United States Attorney